

**IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL  
DELHI BENCH: 'E' NEW DELHI**

**BEFORE SH. G. S. PANNU, VICE PRESIDENT  
AND  
MS SUCHITRA KAMBLE, JUDICIAL MEMBER**

**I.T.A. No. 5398/DEL/2016 (A.Y 2010-11)**

Income Tax Officer, Ward-35(3), New Delhi.  <b>(APPELLANT)</b>	Vs	Sh. Narender Singh A-458, Shastri Nagar, Delhi – 110 052 (PAN : AIIPS 1725 Q)  <b>(RESPONDENT)</b>
--	----	---

<b>Appellant by</b>	<b>Ms. Rakhi Vimal, Sr. D.R.</b>
<b>Respondent by</b>	<b>--None--</b>

<b>Date of Hearing</b>	<b>12.12.2019</b>
<b>Date of Pronouncement</b>	<b>13.12.2019</b>

**ORDER**

**PER SUCHITRA KAMBLE, JM**

This appeal is filed by the Revenue against the order of the Commissioner of Income Tax [Appeals]-12, New Delhi dated 28.06.2016 for Assessment Year 2010-11.

2. At the outset, it was brought to our notice that the tax effect involved in this appeal being less than Rs. 50 lacs, squarely falls within the ambit of Circular No. 17/2019 dated 08.08.2019 issued by the Central Board of Direct Taxes prescribing the tax effect for preferring appeals before Tribunal by the revenue and subsequent clarification issued by CBDT on 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2019.

3. After perusing the materials available on record, we find that the amount disputed before us is below the tax effect limit prescribed by CBDT vide Circular No. 17/2019 dated 08.08.2019 for preferring appeals before tribunal by the revenue. On perusal of the Circular No. 17/2019 dated 08.08.2019 and

the materials available on record, Ld. Sr. DR could not point out as to how and why such a Circular is not applicable to the facts of the case. We find that the subsequent clarification dated 20.08.2019 makes it very clear that the revised monetary limits shall apply retrospectively to pending appeals also. The Circular is binding on the tax authorities. Hence, we hold that the appeal of the revenue deserve to be dismissed on account of low tax effect vide Circular No. 17/2019 dated 08.08.2019 and subsequent clarification on 20.08.2019. Accordingly, on account of low tax effect case, we dismissed this appeal of revenue in limine, without going into the merits of the case.

4. In result, appeal of the Revenue is dismissed.

**Order pronounced in the Open Court on 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2019.**

Sd/-

**(G. S. PANNU)**  
**VICE PRESIDENT**

Sd/-

**(SUCHITRA KAMBLE)**  
**JUDICIAL MEMBER**

Dated: 13/12/2019  
*Priti Yadav, Sr. PS \**

Copy forwarded to:

1. Appellant
2. Respondent
3. CIT
4. CIT(Appeals)
5. DR: ITAT

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ITAT NEW DELHI